IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 2321 of 2006

Pradeep Balkrishna Adsule. ... Petitioner.

V/s.

Kolhapur Agricultural Produce Market Committee and others. ... Respondents.

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 2322 of 2006

Kiran Pandurang Mahadeshwar. ... Petitioner.

V/s.

Kolhapur Agricultural Produce Market Committee and others. ... Respondents.

S.S.Pakale the petitioners.

M.S.Topkar for respondent No.1.

CORAM : V.C.DAGA, J.

DATED : 4th April 2006.

P.C. :

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner. Perused petitions.

2. These petitions are directed against the common order dated 18th March, 2006 passed by the Industrial Court, Kolhapur dismissing Revision Application (ULP) Nos.76 to 77 of 2006 and, thereby confirming the common order dated 20th February, 2006 passed by the Labour Court dismissing the applications for interim relief moved by the complainants (petitioners herein) in the complaints filed under section 28 read with Items 6, 9 and 10 of Schedule-IV of the Maharashtra Recognition of Trade Unions and Prevention of Unfair Labour Practices Act, 1971 ("MRTU & PULP Act" for short).

3. The impugned order passed by the Industrial Court is a common order passed in Revision Application (ULP) Nos.76, 77, 78 and 79 of 2006. The petitions arising out of Revision Application (ULP) Nos.78 and 79 of 2006 came to be dismissed by me vide detailed order dated 31st March, 2006. Since the impugned order was a common order passed in all four revision applications, these petitions are also liable to be dismissed, for the reasons stated in order dated 31st March, 2006 passed in Writ Petition Nos.2204 and 2205/2006.

4. In result, both the petitions are dismissed

in limine with no order as to costs.

5. At this stage, leaned counsel for the petitioners prayed for order directing continuation of the services of the petitioners for two weeks from today. The prayer made is rejected.

(V.C.DAGA, J.)